

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/811,205	03/26/2004	Paula Klecka	PK-101	1967	
7590 11/29/2005 Pauley Petersen & Erickson 2800 West Higgins Road; Suite 365			EXAMINER		
			REESE, D	REESE, DAVID C	
Hoffman Estate			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3677		

DATE MAILED: 11/29/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/811,205	KLECKA, PAULA		
Examiner	Art Unit		
David C. Reese	3677		

	David C. Reese	3677				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED <u>14 November 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.				
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	ving replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in c	fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)			
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.					
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin	g date of the final reject	ion.			
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date nave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ice action; or (2) as			
NOTICE OF APPEAL		_				
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of ne appeal. Since			
	had a sign to the date of filling a tailef					
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below)	nsideration and/or search (see NO		ecause			
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in befaging appeal; and/or	tter form for appeal by materially re	ducing or simplifying	the issues for			
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		ected claims.				
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			(DTOL 204)			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324). 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):						
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 		timely filed amendme	ent canceling the			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-24</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	t hofore or on the data of films a N	ation of Annual will no	t he entered			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 						
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fai	ils to provide a			
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	=		-			
 The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet. 			nce because:			
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	lo(s)				
13.		1 11				
		/sang/	.			
	F	ROBERT J. SAND	ER			

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant primarily refutes examiner's use of prior art of Vitau in Claim 1, since Vitau; as stated by applicant, "does not teach or even suggest forming a bezel within prongs 22". According to dictionary.com, the definition of a bezel is the following: "A groove or flange designed to hold a beveled edge, as of a watch crystal or a gem. Vitau does indeed show of a flange designed to hold an edge of a gemstone, this of which is shown in Fig. 3C of Vitau. Secondly, applicant refutes the use of Vitau in Claim 9. The examiner disagrees, since as claimed, Vitau does indeed show of gemstones (S) positioned in seats (11,12), a pair of prongs (23 in Fig. 3A) on each side of said gemstone (S), and a shared prong posiitioned between each gemstone (two vertical gemstones sharing the prong 23 in Fig. 3A).